UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/748,661	12/31/2003	Krishna Bharat	0026-0064	2814		
44989 HARRITY SNY	7590 04/22/200 YDER, LLP	8	EXAMINER			
11350 Random		AHN, SANGWOO				
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA	22030	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2166			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/22/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/748,661	BHARAT ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		SANGWOO AHN	2166					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
(1) <u>SANGWOO AHN</u> .		(3)						
(2) Viktor Simkovic, Reg. No. 56,012.		(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>27 March 2008</u> .								
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicar	e nt	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:								
Claim(s) discussed: <u>19 and 30</u> .								
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Miyasaka</u> .								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the invention briefly and how the present claims are distinguishable over the prior art. Although no agreement was reached, both parties now have a better understanding of each other's position. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
		/Hosain T Alam/ SPE, AU 2166						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is a Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if requi	red						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	terview	v Summary	Paper	No. 20080416				